

# United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

DATE MAILED: 03/13/2006

FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
12/09/2003	Robert F. Rosenbluth	MCRVT-001BC	3526
90 03/13/2006		EXAM	INER
Robert D. Buyan		DAWSON, GLENN K	
BUYAN & MULLINS.	LLP		
,		ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
		3731	
618			
	12/09/2003 90 03/13/2006 an BUYAN & MULLINS,	12/09/2003 Robert F. Rosenbluth 90 03/13/2006 an BUYAN & MULLINS, LLP	12/09/2003 Robert F. Rosenbluth MCRVT-001BC  90 03/13/2006 EXAM an DAWSON, BUYAN & MULLINS, LLP  ART UNIT  3731

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

	Application No.	Applicant(s)			
	10/730,860	ROSENBLUTH ET AL.			
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit			
	Glenn K. Dawson	3731			
The MAILING DATE of this communication app Period for Reply	ears on the cover sheet with the c	correspondence address			
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING DA  - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.13 after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.  - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period w  - Faiture to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	ATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION 36(a). In no event, however, may a reply be tin will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from cause the application to become ABANDONE	N. nely filed the mailing date of this communication. D (35 U.S.C. § 133).			
Status					
1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on 24 Fe	ehruary 2006				
<u> </u>	, <del>,</del>				
	closed in accordance with the practice under <i>Ex parte Quayle</i> , 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.				
Disposition of Claims	,				
4)⊠ Claim(s) <u>33,34,47 and 51-57</u> is/are pending in the application.					
4a) Of the above claim(s) <u>54-57</u> is/are withdrawn from consideration.					
5) Claim(s) is/are allowed.					
6)⊠ Claim(s) <u>33,47 and 51-53</u> is/are rejected.					
7)⊠ Claim(s) <u>33,47 and 31-33</u> israte rejected.					
8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or	election requirement				
	cicotion requirement.				
Application Papers					
9) The specification is objected to by the Examiner	r.				
10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) □ acce	epted or b) objected to by the	Examiner.			
Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).					
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).					
11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152.					
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119					
<ul> <li>12) ☐ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign</li> <li>a) ☐ All b) ☐ Some * c) ☐ None of:</li> <li>1. ☐ Certified copies of the priority documents</li> </ul>		)-(d) or (f).			
2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No					
3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage					
application from the International Bureau	(PCT Rule 17.2(a)).				
* See the attached detailed Office action for a list of	of the certified copies not receive	ed.			
Attachment(s)					
1) X Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)	4) Interview Summary				
2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)	Paper No(s)/Mail Di	ate Patent Application (PTO-152)			
3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s)/Mail Date 12-19-2005.	6) Other:	atent Application (FTO-192)			

Art Unit: 3731

### Continued Examination Under 37 CFR 1.114

A request for continued examination under 37 CFR 1.114, including the fee set forth in 37 CFR 1.17(e), was filed in this application after final rejection. Since this application is eligible for continued examination under 37 CFR 1.114, and the fee set forth in 37 CFR 1.17(e) has been timely paid, the finality of the previous Office action has been withdrawn pursuant to 37 CFR 1.114. Applicant's submission filed on 02-24-2006 has been entered.

#### Election/Restrictions

Newly submitted claims 54-57 are directed to an invention that is independent or distinct from the invention originally claimed for the following reasons: the product could be used in a different manner such as the device could be used in a lumen of the body different from the vasculature, and/or the filter could remain in one position when expanded and rely on fluid to transfer the embolus into the removal apparatus. Since applicant has received an action on the merits for the originally presented invention, this invention has been constructively elected by original presentation for prosecution on the merits.

Accordingly, claims 54-57 are withdrawn from consideration as being directed to a non-elected invention. See 37 CFR 1.142(b) and MPEP § 821.03.

Art Unit: 3731

## Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

(e) the invention was described in (1) an application for patent, published under section 122(b), by another filed in the United States before the invention by the applicant for patent or (2) a patent granted on an application for patent by another filed in the United States before the invention by the applicant for patent, except that an international application filed under the treaty defined in section 351(a) shall have the effects for purposes of this subsection of an application filed in the United States only if the international application designated the United States and was published under Article 21(2) of such treaty in the English language.

### Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

(a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.

The factual inquiries set forth in *Graham* v. *John Deere Co.*, 383 U.S. 1, 148 USPQ 459 (1966), that are applied for establishing a background for determining obviousness under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) are summarized as follows:

- 1. Determining the scope and contents of the prior art.
- 2. Ascertaining the differences between the prior art and the claims at issue.
- 3. Resolving the level of ordinary skill in the pertinent art.
- 4. Considering objective evidence present in the application indicating obviousness or nonobviousness.

This application currently names joint inventors. In considering patentability of the claims under 35 U.S.C. 103(a), the examiner presumes that the subject matter of the various claims was commonly owned at the time any inventions covered therein were made absent any evidence to the contrary.

Applicant is advised of the obligation under 37 CFR 1.56 to point out the inventor and invention dates of each claim that was not commonly owned at the time a later invention was made in order for the examiner to consider the applicability of 35 U.S.C. 103(c) and potential 35 U.S.C. 102(e), (f) or (g) prior art under 35 U.S.C. 103(a).

Claims 33,47 and 51-53 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Tsugita, et al.-5911734 in view of Cohen, et al.-5167239.

Tsugita discloses a device as shown in fig. 10-11 having an outer tube 132 constraining a clot removal device 50 on an inner tube 13. The inner tube extends to a point 14 distally past the distal end 136 of the outer tube. The clot removal device self-expands upon proximal retraction of the outer tube. See col. 13 line 42-col. 14 line 41. The resilient members 54 have proximal and distal ends attached to the inner catheter, and mid-portions which bow outwardly from the catheter as shown in fig. 11B when expanded. Tsugita also discloses in col. 14 lines 19-27 that the filter mesh can be either on the proximal or distal end. When on the distal end, the movable collar 58 would move to the proximal end.

However, Tsugita does not disclose the guidewire. Cohen discloses a guidewire with lumens for balloon inflation fluid or medicament infusion for use in guiding catheters during vascular procedures. It would have been obvious to have used a guidewire to guide the device of fig. 10 in Tsugita into position, as this is the use of guidewires in cardiovascular procedures as it allows for traversal of the catheter through the tortuous vasculature lumens.

Art Unit: 3731

#### Allowable Subject Matter

Claim 34 is objected to as being dependent upon a rejected base claim, but would be allowable if rewritten in independent form including all of the limitations of the base claim and any intervening claims.

#### Canceled claims

Claims 1-32,35-46 and 48-50 have been canceled.

### Response to Arguments

Applicant's arguments filed 02-24-2006 have been fully considered but they are not persuasive.

The removal apparatus clearly has opposite ends attached to the inner catheter tube and has central portions bowing outwardly from the catheter tube when expanded as shown in fig. 11B.

#### Conclusion

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Glenn K. Dawson whose telephone number is 571-272-4694. The examiner can normally be reached on M-Th 7:30-5:00.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Anhtuan T. Nguyen can be reached on 571-272-4963. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Application/Control Number: 10/730,860

Art Unit: 3731

Page 6

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Rétrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

Glenn K Dawson Primary Examiner Art Unit 3731

Gkd 03 March 2006